## Case 1:24-cv-01128-KES-BAM Document 17 Filed 09/24/25 Page 2 of 3

1	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 143, Plaintiff Rachel Mendoza Garcia ("Plaintiff") and
2	Defendants CDCR, Macomber, and Pfeiffer ("Defendants") (collectively, "the Parties"),
3	through their undersigned counsel, state as follows:
4	WHEREAS, on May 27, 2025, this Court issued a Minute Order setting a Scheduling
5	Conference for October 9, 2025. (ECF No. 14.);
6	WHEREAS, on September 2, 2025, this Court issued "Findings and Recommendations
7	Regarding Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to State a Claim Upon
8	Which Relief May Be Granted." (ECF No. 15.) In it, the Court granted in part and denied in
9	part Defendants' motion to dismiss, and provided Plaintiff with leave to amend numerous
10	causes of action. (Id.) Counsel for Plaintiff plans to file an amended complaint;
11	THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and request that the Court vacate the October 9, 2025
12	Scheduling Conference and schedule another conference after Counsel for Plaintiff has filed an
13	amended complaint. The Parties agree that vacating the October 9, 2025 Scheduling
14	Conference will not be prejudicial to either Party, and is not being sought for any improper
15	purpose.
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
17	Dated: September 23, 2025 Respectfully submitted,
18	Rob Bonta Attorney General of California
19	JEFFREY T. FISHER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
20	/s/ Michael J. Quinn
21	MICHAEL J. QUINN Deputy Attorney General
22	Attorneys for Defendants CDCR, Macomber, and Pfeiffer
23	macomoer, and I jedjer
24	Dated: September 24, 2025 WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
25	Bv: /s/ Christopher J. Mohamed
26	By: <u>/s/ Christopher J. Mohamed</u> Christopher J. Mohamed
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff Rachel Mendoza Garcia
28	